

Übung III: Secondary Structure Prediction

30 Nov, 7 Dec 2008

It is likely that this Übung will really require the allocated two weeks. Read the entire document and maybe it would nice if you decide to have a quick look at task 1 and then perform (partly) the other tasks at first. Please e-mail (ast_uebung@zbh.uni-hamburg.de) a brief written report answering the following questions not later than 21. December 2009.

Tasks:

1. In the lectures, we considered neural networks which use a "logistic" function for switching. There is also a strong school of thought based on other activation functions. Before considering neural networks applied to proteins and secondary structure, we consider a purely theoretical example, based on a simpler, linear classifier.

Frank Rosenblatt's perceptron can be seen as a simplest feed-forward neural network. It is a linear classifier mapping its input vector \mathbf{x} to a linear function $f(x) = \sum_i w_i x_i - b$, where \mathbf{w} is a vector of weights w_i and b is a bias. This version of an artificial neuron can be used as a binary classifier using the sign of $f(\mathbf{x})$ as decision basis. The output is not $f(\mathbf{x})$, but 1 or -1.

In this exercise you will use a slightly modified model that calculates the weighted sum of two inputs x_1, x_2 and compares it to a threshold b .

If the weighted sum is greater than the threshold then the neuron fires +1 otherwise -1, i.e. either $y = +1$ or $y = -1$. This is essentially the same as if you used Rosenblatt's perceptron as a binary classifier.

The illustrated neuron can be trained (or it can be made learned) through error correction from training examples. If $d(k)$ is the desired and $y(k)$ is the obtained output of training example k , then the error is given by $e(k) = d(k) - y(k)$. The synaptic weight w_i changes during the learning procedure according to the learning rule $w_i(k+1) = w_i(k) + \eta e(k) x_i(k)$, where η is the learning rate.

The following training set specifies the truth table for the logic **AND** operator:

$x_1(k)$	$x_2(k)$	$d(k)$	k
1	1	1	1
-1	1	-1	2
-1	-1	-1	3
1	-1	-1	4

Given the initial conditions $w_1 = -0.2$, $w_2 = +0.1$, $b = +0.2$ and $\eta = +0.1$, find the synaptic weights that solve the problem.

- The aim is to try out secondary structure prediction programs on some interesting examples, where one knows the answer. The answers come from:

* STRIDE or DSSP – use coordinates to estimate the secondary structure.

* AUTHOR ASSIGNMENTS - whatever the authors think is correct after looking at their structures

The question is, how close are the predictions (GOR-IV, NNPREPREDICT, JPRED, PHD) to the estimates based on the structure. The examples here use public web servers. These are all free, but do remember that somebody has built the server and provided the computer time. Be careful not to flood any of the servers with requests.

There are two weeks allocated for this Übung. Note that some of the servers listed below do not send results back instantly. It might be safe to submit queries in the first week and look at their answers later (next week).

Have a look at the following protein pairs:

Protein 1	Protein 2	identical sequence
1ial (292-300)	1pky (413-421)	KGVPVPQLVK
1cgu (121-127)	1bgl (835-841)	LITTAHA

Protein 1	Protein 2	identical sequence
1efv (119-124)	1p04 (114-119)	LLPRVA

You might remember few of the proteins from the lectures. There are three pairs of proteins with known structure. There is an identical chunk of sequence in each of three sequences which adopts a different secondary structure in each protein.

For all three proteins, retrieve their (single-lettered) sequences and tertiary structures (PDB-files) from the RCSB protein data bank (www.rcsb.org).

Now use GOR IV, information theory based method, (<http://npsa-pbil.ibcp.fr/> and follow the link to "GOR IV") and NNPREDEICT (<http://www.cmpharm.ucsf.edu/~nomi/nnpredict.html>), based on a feed-forward neural network to predict the secondary structures. Compare the prediction results to the secondary structure assignment based on the 3D coordinates, for example made by the STRIDE services (webclu.bio.wzw.tum.de/stride/). Compare also the DSSP and STRIDE assignments against each other and against the "author approved" assignments (all found under the "Sequence Details" tab at www.rcsb.org).

In your report, write about:

- the differences and similarities in assignments of the complete sequences and especially about the ambiguous parts.
- Do the servers make the same prediction for each member of the protein pair? Why (not)? Describe your observations, compare and discuss them.
- The servers often give an estimate of confidence or reliability. How do they treat the regions where the same sequence sometimes adopts different secondary structure?
- If you are brave and a little patient (30 – 60 min.), you should include predictions from the JPRED <http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/~www-jpred> or PHD <http://www.predictprotein.org/> services in your report. The PHD server requires an unfriendly, but harmless registration. Both servers take multiple sequence alignments into account and reflect the state of art. Why might these methods make not much sense here?

3. Investigate the following hypothesis:

“The number of secondary structure elements found in random sequences is significantly lower and/or their length is significantly shorter than in protein primary structures.”

If this hypothesis is true, the secondary structure prediction could be used as a starting point to find structural genes. Under ‘/home/torda/uebung_sec_struct/’ directory, you can find a little command-line tool that takes a protein sequence and produces (pseudo) random sequences. These pseudo-random sequences are of the same composition and length as the input sequence, but the order of the amino acids has changed.

In the text file /home/torda/uebung_sec_struct/data/1tu7_random, you can find random sequences derived from the primary structure of the major cytosolic Glutathione-S-transferase from the parasitic nematode *Onchocerca volvulus*, the causing organism of river blindness.

But, of course, you are free to use the command-line tool on your own to produce your own random sequences. To do so you can launch the tool by typing, for example:

```
/home/torda/uebung_sec_struct/bin/shuffle_seq.x 3 MSYKLTYSIRGLAEP
```

at command prompt (e.g. *konsole*). This would print the original sequence and three random sequences to stdout. To save this output to a file, use the redirection operator > random_seq.out after your typed command to store the output in the file random_seq.out. You can find the source code in the *src* subdirectory.¹

Develop and perform (partly) an experiment that would allow verifying the hypothesis. In your report write:

- How did you investigate the hypothesis?
- What is your result?

¹ Code originally written by Gundolf Schenk